# A Critical Evaluation of Fetal Weight Assessment in Late Pregnancy

## Marek Klimek

OB/GYN Chair and Department, Jagiellonian University, Cracow, Poland

## Abstract

The time has come to understand that even the most technologically advanced equipement with improper scales can cause more harm than benefits. Charts or tables of fetal size and its evaluation of growth can be constructed only in a manner that data of pre-natal fetal values are divided into 6 seperate entities corresponding to a pregnancy resolution in the 37th, 38th, 39th, 40th, 41st and beyond 41st week of pregnancy duration, respectively.

The pregnancies that develop most quickly are those with the 37th week on the delivery week while those to deliver in the 43rd week have the slowest rate of development. This trait is so predictable that thanks to it, by performing two measurements in late pregnancy one can establish the term of delivery and newborn's state without taking into account when conception actually occurred.

The paper presents a fetal weight at different post menstrual calendar weeks from 28.–44. taking into account their particular birth week. The data was taken from 1724 natural birth which were labelled with newborn's average  $\pm 1$  SD values and birth weeks from the 37th until 44th as well as ultrasonographic measurement of fetal weight 1100 g  $\pm$  300 g at the 28th week. According to the fast (37.–38. birth week), average (39.–40. birth week) and slow (more than 40 weeks) fetal growth rates the fetal weights in particular gestational calendar weeks are provided with mean value  $\pm 1$  SD. The last column provides the average weight values and SD in particular weeks of the calendar pregnancy scale taking into account actual number and body weights of fetuses to be born in different birth weeks.

Correspondence to: Marek Klimek, M.D., Ph.D., OB/GYN Chair and Department, Jagiellonian University, Kopernika 23, 31-501 Cracow, Poland Presented at XIVth World FIGO Congress in Montreal 1994 September 28th

### Zusammenfassung

Eine technische Ausrüstung kann noch so modern sein, wenn die Skalierung unrichtig ist, kann sie mehr schaden als nutzen. Tabellen der fötalen Größe können nur auf der Basis konstruiert werden, daß man eine Einteilung in sechs unterschiedliche Gruppen vornimmt, entsprechend den unterschiedlichen zu erwartenden Geburtsterminen der 37., 38., 39., 40., 41. oder jenseits der 41. Woche.

Bei Schwangerschaften mit dem größten Entwicklungstempo liegt der Geburtstermin in der 37. Woche, während die Entbindungen bei Schwangerschaften mit dem langsamsten Entwicklungstempo in der 43. Woche liegen. Diese Zusammenhänge sind so regelhaft, daß auf der basis von zwei Messungen in der fortgeschrittenen Schwangerschaft der Geburtstermin und die Neugeborenenparameter vorhergesagt werden können, und zwar ohne Bezug auf einen erschlossenen Konzeptionstermin.

In der Arbeit wird das fötale Gewicht von der 28. bis 44. Woche dargestellt, wobei jeweils die Woche der Geburt in Rechnung gestellt ist. Die Daten wurden durch eine Untersuchung von 1724 natürlichen Geburten gewonnen, bei denen die üblichen Neugeborenenparameter bestimmt wurden. Der Geburtstermin streute zwischen der 37. bis zur 44. Woche. In der 28. Woche wurde das fötale Gewicht mit  $1100 \text{ g} \pm 300 \text{ g}$  bestimmt. Je nachdem, ob die Entwicklungsgeschwindigkeit schnell war (Geburtswoche in der 37. und 38. Woche), durchschnittlich (Geburtswoche in der 39. und 40. Woche) oder langsam (Geburtswoche jenseits der 40. Woche) wurde das Gewicht in Mittelwerten bestimmt. Die letzte Spalte gibt das durchschnittliche Gewicht mit Standardabweichung in den einzelnen Wochen der Schwangerschaft, wobei die unterschiedlichen Geburtswochen in Rechnung gestellt werden.

## Introduction

The main urgent need in contemporary obstetrics should be to monitor pregnancies and not simply recording them with ultrasound equipement. This requires a simultaneous knowledge of physiology and pathology of pregnancy as well as of medical technology. The time has come to understand that even the most technologically advanced equipement with improper scales can cause more harm than benefits. Conversely a simple equipement with the proper scales is more clinically efficient.

Due to the primary goal of pregnancy. i. e. the birth of mature fetus, its final week is therefore the most important. It can be one of 6 birth weeks beginning with the 37th week of the calendar postmenstrual gestational scale. It is in fact from this last week that values of parameters measured of pregnancy development on the calendar scale of pregnancy are dependent on.

The pregnancies that develop most quickly are those with the 37th week on the delivery week while those to deliver in the 43rd week have the slowest rate of development. This trait is so predictable that thanks to it, by performing two measurements in late pregnancy one can estabilish the term of delivery and newborns' state without taking into account when conception actually occurred.<sup>1,2</sup>

The most representative data in fetal natural distribution occurs in the population of the 39th birth week. In earlier weeks nearly 30% of newborns will deliver with a birth weight less than mean  $\pm 1$  SD (standard deviation) and conversely babies bornlater will achive a mass of 25% greater than mean + 1 SD. This explains why newborns already mature at the 37th week have a mass of 200 g less than babies born later will achieve a mass up to 200 g more.

Charts or tables of fetal size and its evaluation of growth can be constructed only in a manner that data of pre-natal fetal values are divided into 6 seperate entities corresponding to a pregnancy resolution in the 37th, 38th, 39th, 40th, 41st and beyond 41st week of pregnancy duration, respectively. These seperate populations do not form any continuum and therefore creating it through cross-sectional studies is inappropriate and from a clinical point of view represents iatrogenic consequences for both mother and child.

For example, average values of any USG parameters in particular calendar weeks beyond 36 weeks of pregnancy are a result of fetal numbers which as mature are to be born in that particular week as well as those fetuses to be born in the remaining weeks of birth occurrence. Therefore, not only dimensions of those babies are important, but also their absolute number which are to deliver in following weeks. Most of them will deliver during the 39th and 40th week with lovering number delivering in either direction from these weeks. To illustrate the difference of old and new pregnancy dating, the new table of fetal weight was constructed.

### Table of Fetal Weight According to the Maturation Rate

Table 1 shows a fetal weight at different post menstrual calendar weeks from 28.– 44. taking into account their particular birth week. The data was taken from 1724 natural birth which were labeled with newborn's average  $\pm 1$  SD values and birth weeks from the 37th until 44th as well as ultrasonographic measurement of fetal weight  $1100 \pm 300$  g at the 28th week. According to the fast (37.–38. birth week), average (39.–40. birth week) and slow (more than 40 weeks) fetal growth rates the fetal weights in particular gestational calendar weeks are provided with mean value  $\pm 1$  SD. The last column provides the average weight values and SD in particular weeks of the calendar pregnancy scale taking into account acutal number and body weights of fetuses to be born in different birth weeks.

General practioner having a computer-programed ultrasonograph with a threedimensional color image in real-time, capable of being upgraded to set the trend for new innovations in technological development, has no reason to suspect that his equipement might possess improper programs for clinical use. This represents a clear case of technologies superiority over humanistic medicine in contemporary civilization.

In spite of the above the results obtained by the computer aided method concern an individual fetus, taking into account its dynamic development in the latter weeks of pregnancy. It is sufficient to measure at least twice any ultrasound as well

Week	Birth weeks (mean $\pm$ SD)							
of scale	F		A		s		mean ± SD	
	37	38	39	40	41	≥42	1	
≥42						<b>3590</b> ±400	<b>3590</b> ±400	
41					<b>3554</b> ±400	<b>3412</b> ±393	<b>3483</b> ±396	
40				<b>3516</b> ±400	<b>3365</b> ±392	<b>3234</b> ±385	<b>3371</b> ±392	
39			<b>3373</b> ±400	<b>3315</b> ±391	<b>3176</b> ±384	<b>3056</b> ±379	3230 ±388	
38		<b>3210</b> ±400	<b>3166</b> ±391	<b>3113</b> ±383	<b>2988</b> ±380	<b>2879</b> ±371	<b>3071</b> ±385	
37	<b>3180</b>	<b>2999</b>	<b>2959</b>	<b>2912</b>	2799	2701	<b>2925</b>	
	±400	±390	±382	±375	±369	±364	±380	
36	<b>2949</b>	<b>2788</b>	<b>2753</b>	<b>2711</b>	<b>2610</b>	<b>2523</b>	<b>2722</b>	
	±389	±380	±372	±366	±361	±357	±370	
35	<b>2718</b>	<b>2577</b>	<b>2546</b>	<b>2509</b>	<b>2421</b>	<b>2345</b>	2519	
	±378	±370	±363	±358	±354	±350	362±	
34	<b>2487</b>	<b>2366</b>	<b>2340</b>	<b>2308</b>	<b>2233</b>	<b>2167</b>	<b>2316</b>	
	±367	±360	±354	±350	±346	±343	±353	
33	<b>2255</b>	<b>2155</b>	<b>2133</b>	<b>2107</b>	<b>2044</b>	<b>1989</b>	<b>2113</b>	
	±356	±350	±345	±341	±338	±336	±344	
32	<b>2024</b>	<b>1944</b>	<b>1926</b>	<b>1905</b>	<b>1855</b>	<b>1811</b>	<b>1910</b>	
	±344	±340	±336	±333	±330	±328	±335	
31	<b>1793</b>	<b>1733</b>	<b>1720</b>	<b>1704</b>	<b>1666</b>	<b>1634</b>	1708	
	±333	±330	±327	±325	±323	±321	±326	
30	<b>1562</b>	<b>1522</b>	<b>1513</b>	<b>1503</b>	<b>1477</b>	<b>1456</b>	1505	
	±322	±320	±318	±317	±315	±314	±317	
29	<b>1331</b>	<b>1311</b>	<b>1307</b>	<b>1301</b>	<b>1289</b>	<b>1278</b>	1302	
	±311	±310	±309	±308	±307	±307	±308	
28	<b>1100</b>	<b>1100</b>	<b>1100</b>	<b>1100</b>	<b>1100</b>	<b>1100</b>	<b>1100</b>	
	±300	±300	±300	±300	±300	±300	±300	

Table 1. Weight (g) of fast (F), average (A) and slow (S) growing fetuses

asother clinical parameter, e.g. oxytocinase, at approximately 2–3 week intervals. Making two or several exams computer analyses of the data give us automatical prognosis of birth date and newborns state.

Table 2 presents data and their computer analysis related to patient I.L. age 29. who delivered on December 25th a son (3300 g, 53 cm, B-K maturity 40 points) after spontaneus onset of delivery. Birth date was predicted within one week period (21–28 December) with mean birth-weight  $3437 \pm 61$  g and maturity 39.5  $\pm$  0.5, and only value of abdominal circumference was signaled as suspicious between 1st and 2nd exam.

**Table 2.** Data and computer-results of all exams of J.L. (29 years old), Gravida II, Para I. Spontaneous vaginal delivery on December 25, 1993 (newborn 3300 g, 53 cm, B-K 40). Cortrosyntherapies – May 31, August 11.

Exam		с.	HC	BPD	AC *	C#	CAP		Days			
1 1 X		7	279	75	270	3.6						
2 3 XI		3	304	85	293	5.7		3	33			
3 6 XII		1	327	93	344	-		33				
4 20 XII 74		338	97	361	8.8		14					
Predicted total				25 XII	39.5 ±0.5	3437 ±61						
Analysis	Weeks		Birth	Growth	В-К	Mass o		Sign				
Exams	Р	to B	date		actual at B		actual at B		al			
12	35.0	7.9	28 XII	S	24.0 40.0		2221 3495		AC			
123	39.7	2.5	24 XII	S	34.0 39.3	3018 3440			AC			
1234	41.7	0.5	24 XII	S	38.2 39.2		3357 3434		AC			
1 34	42.0	0.1	21 XII	S	38.4 38.6		3365 3383					
12 4	41.7	0.2	21 XII	R/S	38.5 38.9		3381 3413		AC			
34	41.4	0.7	25 XII	S	38.4 40.0		3369 3494					
24	41.1	0.8	26 XII	R/S	38.0 39.8		3315 3450					
14	41.7	0.8	26 XII	S	38.5 40.1		3387 3519					
234	41.4	0.7	25 XII	S	37.6 39.2		3223 3347					
23	39.4	2.8	26 XII	S	33.4 39.2		2884 3341					
13	40.0		26 XII	S	34.1 39.9	3026 3489						
B-K - Balla	B-K - Ballard-Klimek scale, CAP - oxytocinase, P - pregnancy,											

B-K - Ballard-Klimek scale, CAP - oxytocinase, P -B - birth, F/R - fast/average, R - average

Thus, one should not abandon, but rather encourage the performance of ultrasonic computer assisted monitoring of the management and outcome of late pregnancy with the accuracy of days, and not as earlier advocated, weeks.

## Conclusion

It is not only gain of fetal mass, length, but even maturity that can be used as an indirect measure of biological fetal age. Therefore, their scales have to serve for practical clinical assessement of biological gestational age. This fact is disregarded by other methods which are based on scales derived from ultrasound cross-sectional studies, or what is worse, from tables that lack data beyond the 40th or 41st week of pregnancy. In the assessment of third trimester fetal weight a technical diagnosis alone does not change outcome of individual observed pregnancy, it can be done by simultaneous personal prognosis.

# References

- 1. Klimek, M. (1994). Computer-aided imaging in advanced pregnancy. In: Popkin, D.R. and Peddle L.J. (eds). *Women's Health Today*. New York-London: Parthenon, pp. 245–250
- 2. Klimek, M. (1993). Psychological aspects of determining the expected date of delivery. *Int. J. Prenatal and Perinatal Psychology and Medicine* **5**, 150–155